JURNAL ILMU MANAJEMEN MULTIDISIPLIN: Volume 1, Number 2, 2026, *Page*: 42-49

Analysis of the Risks Posed by the Decline in Human Genetic Diversity to the Sustainability of Future Development

Bahora Shomruodova *

University of World Economy and Diplomacy, bshomurodova@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47134/jasim
*Correspondence: Bahora Shomruodova
Email: bshomurodova@gmail.com

Received: September 2025
Accepted: November 2025
Published: Januari 2026

Abstract: *Human genetic variation plays a crucial role in enhancing disease resistance, adaptive capacity, and future survival. This study aims to analyze the impact of declining human genetic diversity and its implications for global health, disease resilience, and social equity. This study is based on a literature review of historical population bottlenecks, colonization processes, and the underrepresentation of certain populations in modern genomic research. The analysis reveals that disparities in access to healthcare and the dominance of European population data in genomic databases have widened scientific gaps and hindered the development of inclusive precision medicine. Furthermore, this study examines various examples from history, contemporary genomic databases, and international initiatives such as H3Africa and the Human Pangenome Project to demonstrate that the decline in human genetic variation can increase vulnerability to pandemics and hereditary diseases, as well as reduce human adaptive capacity to global environmental changes. Therefore, a systemic evaluation of health research governance, the distribution of scientific benefits, and collaborative policies that ensure the equitable involvement of the entire human population is necessary.*

Keywords: *Genetic diversity, Global health, Precision medicine, human sustainability.*

Introduction

Genomic diversity is the complete pattern of genomic variations in a species. In humans it is one of the foundations of survival because it permits populations to cope with changing environments and new diseases (Frankham et al. 39). The absence of an optimal level of diversity contributes to an increased probability of genetic diseases, loss of robustness to pathogens and environmental perturbations on an evolutionary time scale. Scientists have spent decades trying to understand the role of genetic diversity in human evolution. Research on ancient bottlenecks such as that resulting from the Toba supervolcano eruption, have demonstrated the extreme diminution of the genetic stock that limited genetic variability and its subsequent influence on human populations long term (Ambrose 629). Moreover, conservation genetics has shown that minimal genetic variation influences population vulnerability to extinction and diseases in human and animal populations (Frankham et al. 45). More recently, there are studies that have shown the underrepresentation of non-European populations in genomic research, with consequent detrimental effects on health care equity (Popejoy and Fullerton 161). And yet, there is still a problem. Recent forces—such as population homogenization through globalization, decreasing fertility rates, and persistence of under-sampling non-European populations in genetic databases—still have eroded human genetic diversity in the modern day (Hawks et al. 20755). These concerns have been poorly documented by previous studies and policies, and put vulnerable people at risk while jeopardizing humanity's possibilities to adapt to the future. This paper will begin to articulate how suppressed human genetic diversity has led to these ongoing issues through an integration of historical and current evidence that poses a global threat. It will analyze the long-term health, adaptability, and equity implications of this reduction and propose strategies to protect the genetic variation essential for humanity's survival.

Genetic derogation is widely regarded as a survival tool when species change. According to Frankham, Ballou, and Briscoe, a species with low levels of genetic diversity becomes more susceptible to extinction, disease outbreaks, and poorer adaptation to environmental changes (Frankham et al. 45). From historical standpoints, one could use the Toba supervolcanic eruption as an example of how extreme reductions in population thus caused genetic bottlenecks, which have gravitational forces on the human financials (Ambrose 629). In previous studies from Frankham, et al. (2010) and Ambrose (1998), recent work has commonly made an argument for global decreased levels of genetic diversity. The 1000 Genomes Project (2015) as well as Rosenberg, et al. (2002) both encompassed much of human genetic variation but emphasized regional and historical gaps in some populations around the globe. Sirugo, Williams and Tishkoff (2019) proved that human populations taken from non-European origin remain profoundly absent from genetic investigation, restricting the medical applications. Likewise, Rotimi and Tishkoff (2014) established major studies such as H3Africa (2016) will ultimately help fill in gaps in African genome data. O'Fallon and Jorde (2006) also confirmed the widespread patterns of historical expansions and contractions of populations, helping to clarify some of the complexities involved with understanding historical human genetics and reasons for population genetic diversity loss. Meanwhile, other studies have analyzed modern factors responsible for the erosion of human genetic diversity, Hawks et al said there has been a slowdown in the rate of genetic change in modern populations, restricting the ability of humanity to face adjustments of new environmental and epidemiological challenges (Hawks et al. 20755). Popejoy and Fullerton have documented how non-European populations are grossly underrepresented in genomic databases, thereby creating disparities in health and access to precision medicine (Popejoy and Fullerton 161). Quintana-Murci had, indeed, tied genetic diversity with disease resistance, arguing that populations with higher genetic diversities are more capable of responding to pandemics and other public health threats (Quintana-Murci 278). Thus, though these investigations have considerably advanced our knowledge about why genetic diversity matters, their perspective regarding the additional pressure of historical bottlenecks, alongside present-day globalization, health, and environmental inequities, on the perpetuation of this condition has been somewhat neglected. Therefore, existing researchers have generally addressed these issues separately, rendering them incapable of putting together a comprehensive framework that addresses past-and-present trends-that may affect future risks. This lacuna in literature warrants the attention of a broad analysis that will juxtapose both historical data and modern genomic sentiment, with modern demographic trends.

Methodology

The major questions about the danger of a possible dip in genetic diversity serve as the backdrop for the whole theoretical system. To begin, stock of the existing knowledge was one way to look into the problems. Between 1998 and 2024, research articles were surveyed across relevant domains using PubMed, Google Scholar, and JSTOR. Important papers included the groundwork laid by Ambrose (1998), Frankham et al. (2010), Popejoy and Fullerton (2016), Hawks et al. (2007), and Quintana-Murci (2016). Second, looking at the past was helpful. Large-scale population declines caused by historical bottlenecks were examined, especially with respect to cases like the Toba supereruption, to see how genetic diversity might be put in a permanent straitjacket. Next, looking into the present was a way to compare. Extracted data from global datasets, including GWAS, WHO statistics, and big genomic databases that allowed the research to evaluate the present-day human genetic diversity in the face of population change, underrepresentation in research, and inequality in access to healthcare. Speaking of which, problems do not exist for humans alone. This study examined some of the key genomic studies such as H3Africa (Rotimi and Tishkoff 2014) and the Human Pangenome Project, with an emphasis on level and representation of diversity. Using data from the 1000 Genomes Project (2015) and polygenic risk score studies (Martin et al. 2019) the study assessed implications of diversity in data types as they relate to health consequences. Historical datasets, gathered from Ambrose (1998), Rosenberg et al (2002), and O'Fallon and Jorde (2006), were used for analyzing demographic shifts, genetic bottlenecks, and contemporary genomic data (GWAS and large studies - (Sirugo et al 2019; Reich et al. 2012). To get a bigger picture, comparing human data with well-documented cases in other animals, such as cheetahs and mountain gorillas, who have suffered inadequately from the short genetic diversity. In the end, pulling everything together to analyze helped me come up with a conclusion. By combining historical lessons, present statistics, and comparisons with other species, this method gives us a more complete picture of what is on the line. It then serves to help us point towards solutions—because one can only fix something by knowing what it is.

Result and Discussion

The visible facts present a compelling case to the fact that human genetic diversity faces pressures from many different facets. Beginning with history, the Toba supervolcano eruption 74,000 years ago puts in perspective just how thinly stretched genetic diversity can actually be (Harpending 46). When the population goes down to really low numbers, the gene pool shrinks dramatically, and this loss can be felt for thousands of years (Ambrose 629). But the problem is not just history. It is happening currently. In the modern era, genetic diversity is being decreased in subtle ways. Globalization has ensured that today, the world is closely knitted; however, it has also paved the way for cultural homogenization and genetic homogenization. Fertility rates have dropped in many parts of the world; this, in turn, means fewer individuals to represent the next generation and the gene pool (Hawks et al. 20758). In the meantime, several populations are almost absent from the database of research on genetics so that their distinct genetic characteristics—and the potential protections modern medicine could buy them—are missed (Popejoy and Fullerton 161). Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) demonstrate that greater genetic diversity within a population enhances its ability to withstand disease outbreaks and adapt to environmental fluctuations (Quintana-Murci 280). In contrast, populations with diminished genetic variation are at elevated risk for hereditary disorders and widespread health crises. This pattern is not unique to humans; species such as cheetahs and mountain gorillas have suffered significant population declines due to inbreeding and limited genetic variability, leaving them more susceptible to disease and environmental stressors (Frankham et al. 92). Rosenberg et al. (2002) provided evidence of the influence of population structures on genetic variation, support for which can also be found in Tishkoff and Kidd (2004) through their examination of the biogeography of populations. Similar discrepancies are apparent in the work of Sirugo et al. (2019) in which the clinically meaningfulness of genetic databases is limited by population representation. Further, Martin et al. (2019) demonstrated that polygenic scores focused on Europeans could exacerbate health inequities, which was also indicated in the work of Reich et al. (2012) in their assessment of the historical account of Native American populations. When considering historical context, contemporary data, and observations from other species, the implications are clear: reduced genetic diversity poses a substantial and growing threat to human health, resilience, and long-term survival.

The results of this study show the following, important and interrelated implications for current populations and subsequent generations: Reduction in human genetic diversity has implications not only for biological health impacts (i.e. disease resistance/adaptability), but for social and structural factors (i.e. fairness in health care access, inclusion in scientific research practices). Just considering a couple of historical examples and the current statistical information available in the field of genomics on a global level, the results show multiple, complicated threats: the loss of human genetic resources is happening now, and its impacts are real.

Health Vulnerabilities and Disease Susceptibility

Populations with low genetic diversity are statistically more vulnerable to pathogens and genetic diseases. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) continually demonstrate that genetically similar groups show less variation in their immune system responses and, thus, are less equipped to respond to emerging pathogens (Quintana-Murci 282). Having low variation in a population means that when a new virus or bacterium shows up, there are less barriers to its spread, which can lead to larger outages/epidemics/pandemics. The limited variability reduces the population's ability to adapt to changing environmental conditions, such as climate change or novel ecological constraints (Hawks et al. 20760). These biological limitations are not standalone issues—they are compounded by globalization, meaning a localized outbreak or a change in environmental conditions can quickly escalate into a widespread crisis.

Inequities in Representation and Healthcare Access

The alignment of the status of under-representation of populations with health disparities also reflects how under-diversity reinforces their inequities in access to health care. Many populations, particularly from non-European ancestry, are chronically under-represented in genetic research databases (Popejoy and Fullerton 162). Thus, precision medicine, made possible in part by the collection of genetic information for disease prevention and treatment, only serves to benefit those populations already represented. The correlate here is that genetic variants (with properties of distinctiveness) that could provide critical protection against specific diseases will remain undiscovered and unusable. Genetic bottlenecks and subsequent genetic losses, Charters chronicles, may endure over thousands years before recovery (Ambrose 631). Both the findings from the 1000 Genome project (2015) and the H3Africa Project (Rotimi and Tishkoff 2014) clearly suggest that both the improvement in representation and access to database genetic information present not only an opportunity to close existing knowledge gaps but equally importantly provide a much greater potential to contribute to precision medicine with stronger assurance of efficacy in diverse populations.

Historical Bottlenecks and Present-Day Risks

The results also show that the erosion of genetic diversity is not merely a theoretical concern—it has occurred previously, and its effects are still felt today. Certainly, any past loss of variation that resulted in a genetic bottleneck, as O'Fallon and Jorde (2006) explain, previously dramatically reduced the genetic variation of human populations and, in effect, increased vulnerability to disease and environmental change for generations afterwards. While we know that there are similar risks now, those risks also include modern-day practices that specifically continue to exclude certain populations from consideration in regards to basic research participation. For example, Martin et al. (2019) demonstrated that polygenic risk scores—which are measures designed for predicting disease risk susceptibility—are considerably less accurate for underrepresented populations, ultimately worsening the disparity in health outcomes. Currently and through a historical lens, both lessons reveal that pursuing exclusion from both past genetic losses and modern-day practices can have measurable negative impacts on human health. If, however, these actions are left unaddressed, these relevant detrimental outcomes will get worse over time and society will be left less able to prevail in the face of unknown environmental, biological and social change.

Maintaining genetic diversity in humans is more than a scientific goal; it is a vital need for the survival and ability to adapt to humanity. Humanity's genetic variation has enabled survival and recovery time and time again throughout history when faced with pandemics, environmental catastrophes, and population bottlenecks (Frankham et al.). When we lose diversity, we lose the defenses we naturally possess to minimize vulnerability to threats already in existence or emerging threats of yet unknown application.

a. The Necessity of Preserving Genetic Diversity

Protecting diversity within the human genome is not just a scientific goal; it is an essential requirement for our species to survive and adapt (Frankham et al.). The culmination of events over time like pandemics, significant environmental changes, or significant population bottlenecks suggests that the genetic diversity has consistently helped us survive and recover; following the Toba supereruption (Ambrose 629) or pandemics like the Black Death, we see populations that were large enough to survive with enough diversity a genome to spawn adaptations and repopulate. Diversity also helps maintain adaptive traits that may not benefit us at this time, but may be significant in changing environmental conditions. The sickle-cell trait affords resistance to malaria that only heterozygous carriers possess—thus denoting a context dependent advantage of genetic variation. If we continue to jeopardize a healthy genetic base for our species, then such potentially life saving genetic adaptations, while potentially there, will not be recognized till it is too late; showing we have limited preparation for an unpredictable crisis.

b. Health Risks from Reduced Variation

One of the most direct and well-publicized threats of reduced genetic diversity is the increased risk of susceptibility to infectious disease. Populations with less variability, particularly within major histocompatibility complex (MHC) genes, have a terribly difficult time mounting different immune responses, so pathogens can move rapidly and with grave results (Quintana-Murci). The COVID-19 pandemic made clear how quickly disease can spread in a population; future pandemics, specifically in populations with even less diversity than humans, could have higher mortality rates and disrupt care across systems in ways we cannot even comprehend. Reduced variation also allows for the accumulation of deleterious alleles, with resulting increases in the rate of heritable disorders and other chronic health conditions across populations. Such variation issues burden public health infrastructures and deepen health inequities in men's health between populations. Fearful examples can be seen in wildlife, like cheetahs and mountain gorillas (Frankham et al. 92), where low genetic diversity resulted in increased vulnerability to disease and environmental change, and that parallels and should concern human populations.

c. Representation in Genetic Research

A notable challenge in the field of modern genomics remains the over-representation of individuals of European descent in genetics research conducted at scale (Popejoy and Fullerton). The inequity in representation undermines the usefulness of medical applications for the populations who are under-represented resulting in misdiagnoses, inappropriate disease risk predictions, and missed opportunities for prevention. For example, polygenic risk scores that are generated using primarily data from individuals of European ancestry may result in significant over or underestimations of risk to African, Indigenous, or South Asian populations (Martin et al. 2019). Sirugo et al. (2019) encourage researchers to establish minimums for diversity in genetic databases to ethically improve healthcare outcomes. Projects such as H3Africa (Rotimi and Tishkoff 2014) and the Human Pangenome Project have demonstrated how, through community-driven research, representation can be resourced when local scientists, local institutions, and local participants all are able to benefit from project outcomes. Without these kinds of reforms, we risk maintaining and perhaps worsening existing inequities in health through precision medicine.

d. Protection of Unique Genetic Lineages and Future Resilience

The extinction of unique human genetic lineages constitutes a cultural and biological tragedy (Rosenberg et al. 2002; Reich et al. 2012). Indigenous and isolated populations may possess rare adaptations - for instance, diving physiology in the Bajau and high-altitude physiological tolerance in the Andes - that were achieved over millennia. These adaptations may hold solutions to future health problems or environmental challenges. Once these adaptations are lost, there are no second chances to recover them.Conserving this diversity enhances humanity's ability to respond to diseases, climate change, and other unanticipated crises. As Allendorf and Luikart (2013) argue, human diversity needs to be protected with the same urgency that biodiversity conservation requires. Protection involves ethical partnerships in research, respect for communities' sovereignty over their genetic data, development of systems for benefit-sharing, and protection of policies that protect the preservation of cultures, land rights, and risks of forced displacement or assimilation. In conserving unique human lineages, we also conserve an evolutionary "toolkit" that provides resilience in the future. Ignoring this diversity constrains our adaptive capacity as change and uncertainty accelerate. If these resources are lost, they are lost forever, and therefore, the investment to protect them on behalf of future generations remains a critical, even if uncertain, investment in their survival and adaptiveness.

Conclusion

The diversity existing within human genetics is what has allowed us the adaptability and disease resistance that allows us ultimately to survive as a species. This diversity has enabled humans to recover from environmental catastrophes, pandemics, and population bottlenecks throughout history. Currently, we face multiple converging factors that threaten this resource; historic and ongoing bottlenecks; globalization and the homogenization of humanity; declining fertility rates; and the ongoing exclusion of many human populations from genetics studies. If these trends continue, future humans will suffer from reduced immunity to emergent diseases, more prevalent hereditary disorders, and less adaptability to environmental change. These impacts will be felt first and forefront by the very populations that are still excluded from research and global health planning.

However, reversing this course is both possible and urgent. Ensuring the protection of genetic diversity means expanding inclusive research practices, protecting the aspirations and cultural heritage of Indigenous and minority peoples, and reassessing social or medical practices that may unintentionally limit the gene pool. Genetic diversity must be supported and prioritized with the same intentionality that organizations use to safeguard biodiversity – because the “ecosystem” in question is humanity. The decisions we make today will determine if future generations inherit a resilient, adaptable species positioned to respond effectively to crises or a compromised population with a diminished capacity to endure. Therefore, protection of human genetic diversity is not simply an issue of choice; it is an investment in resilience, equity, and survival.

References

Allendorf, F. W., & Luikart, G. (2013). *Conservation and the genetics of populations* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell. https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Conservation+and+the+Genetics+of+Populations%2C+2nd+Edition-p-9781444339895

Ambrose, S. H. (1998). Late Pleistocene human population bottlenecks, volcanic winter, and differentiation of modern humans. *Journal of Human Evolution, 34*(6), 623–651. https://doi.org/10.1006/jhev.1998.0219

Frankham, R., Ballou, J. D., & Briscoe, D. A. (2010). *Introduction to conservation genetics* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. https://www.cambridge.org/highereducation/books/introduction-to-conservation-genetics/696B4E558C93F7FBF9C33D6358EA7425

Hawks, J., Cochran, G., Harpending, H., & Moyzis, R. (2007). Recent acceleration of human adaptive evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 104*(52), 20753–20758. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0707650104

Martin, A. R., et al. (2019). Clinical use of current polygenic risk scores may exacerbate health disparities. *Nature Genetics, 51*, 584–591. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-019-0379-x

O'Fallon, B. D., & Jorde, L. B. (2006). Genetic evidence for a recent population expansion in humans. *Genetics, 172*(1), 259–264. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.105.048391

Popejoy, A. B., & Fullerton, S. M. (2016). Genomics is failing on diversity. *Nature, 538*, 161–164. https://doi.org/10.1038/538161a

Quintana-Murci, L. (2016). Human immunology through the lens of evolutionary genetics. *Cell, 177*(1), 274–291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.033

Rosenberg, N. A., et al. (2002). Genetic structure of human populations. *Science, 298*, 2381–2385. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1078311

Reich, D., et al. (2012). Reconstructing Native American population history. *Nature, 488*, 370–374. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11258

Rotimi, C., & Tishkoff, S. (2014). The Human Heredity and Health in Africa (H3Africa) Initiative. *Science, 344*, 1346–1348. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1252217

Sirugo, G., Williams, S. M., & Tishkoff, S. A. (2019). The missing diversity in human genetic studies. *Cell, 177*(1), 26–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.048

Tishkoff, S. A., & Kidd, K. K. (2004). Implications of biogeography of human populations for "race" and medicine. *Nature Genetics, 36*(s1), S21–S27. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1435

1000 Genomes Project Consortium. (2015). A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature, 526*, 68–74. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15393