



Pubmedia Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris Vol: 2, No 3, 2025, Page: 1-7

# Semantic Analysis of English Polysemous Words

#### Umida Sultanova

Researcher, Urgench State University named after Abu-Raykhan Biruni, Uzbekistan

DOI:

https://doi.org/10.47134/jpbi.v2i3.1607 \*Correspondence: Umida Sultanova Email:

umidaxansultanova750@gmail.com

Received: 10-02-2025 Accepted: 13-03-2025 Published: 24-04-2025



**Copyright:** © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/

**Abstract:** The objective of this research is to analyze the phenomenon of polysemy in English by identifying its semantic mechanisms, cognitive origins, and practical implications. Using a qualitative semantic analysis approach, the study examines metaphor, metonymy, generalization, and specialization as key processes that lead to the development of multiple meanings for a single word. Examples such as "run," "head," and "light" are analyzed to illustrate semantic expansion. The findings suggest that polysemy not only reflects the dynamic evolution of language but also poses challenges for language learners and lexicographers. Understanding polysemy is essential for improving language comprehension, translation accuracy, and dictionary design.

**Keywords:** Polysemy, Semantics, English Language, Lexical Meaning, Context, Linguistic Analysis.

## Introduction

Polysemy, the coexistence of multiple meanings for a single lexical item, is one of the most fundamental and fascinating features of natural languages. Unlike homonymy, where unrelated meanings coincide in form, polysemy involves semantically related senses branching from a core concept. In English, polysemy enriches the expressive capabilities of the language while also posing challenges for understanding, teaching, translation, and dictionary-making. Understanding the semantic structure of polysemous words not only deepens insights into language functioning but also reveals cognitive patterns and cultural influences embedded in linguistic usage.

Polysemy, the phenomenon in which a single word has multiple meanings, develops through several cognitive and linguistic mechanisms that allow a word's meaning to extend or shift in different contexts. These mechanisms, metaphorical extension, metonymic shift, specialization, and generalization are fundamental to how polysemy emerges and evolves within a language.

## Methodology

Polysemy is traditionally defined as "the phenomenon where a single word has multiple related meanings" (Lyons, 1977). It is distinct from homonymy, where words share form but not meaning (e.g., bank as a river bank vs. bank as a financial institution); Monosemy, where

a word has only one meaning. In semantic theory, polysemy is often explained through cognitive mechanisms such as metaphorical extension (Lakoff & Johnson, 1980) and metonymic shift (Dirven & Pörings, 2002). For instance: Head  $\rightarrow$  (body part)  $\rightarrow$  (leader, top part); Bright  $\rightarrow$  (shining)  $\rightarrow$  (intelligent). Thus, polysemy arises from the flexible mapping between linguistic forms and conceptual domains.

One of the most significant drivers of polysemy is metaphor, a cognitive process where a word's meaning expands from its original, concrete sense to a more abstract or figurative sense based on shared characteristics. According to Lakoff and Johnson (1980), metaphorical reasoning plays a crucial role in human cognition, and the use of metaphor in language allows speakers to make sense of abstract concepts by mapping them onto more familiar, concrete domains. This process results in polysemous meanings, as one word can take on several related senses. For instance, the word light as a noun refers to something that makes things visible, like sunlight. Metaphorically, light extends to mean something that provides understanding or clarity, as in "She brought light to the situation" (where "light" symbolizes understanding or insight). This metaphorical extension is central to the evolution of polysemy, as words originally tied to physical experiences are mapped onto intangible concepts. Other examples include: Bright (shining)  $\rightarrow$  Bright (intelligent, as in "a bright student"); Head (part of the body)  $\rightarrow$  Head (leader or chief, as in "head of the department").

These extensions show how polysemy can emerge when a word is applied to a broader range of experiences that share some conceptual or sensory similarity to its original meaning.

Metonymy, another cognitive mechanism, differs from metaphor in that it involves a shift of meaning based on contiguity or association rather than similarity. In metonymic processes, one word stands for something closely related to it, often in a spatial, causal, or part-whole relationship. This shift often leads to polysemy as a word takes on new meanings within specific contexts. For example, the word crown: originally referring to a physical object (the crown worn by a monarch), it can also represent the monarchy itself, such as in the phrase "the crown has passed new laws." Similarly, pen can refer to the writing instrument but also to the act of writing, as in "She has a sharp pen," where "pen" metaphorically represents a writer or the act of writing. Metonymy, therefore, enables a word to accumulate multiple senses as it is used to refer to related but distinct concepts, making it a powerful driver of polysemous development.

Polysemous words can also arise through specialization and generalization processes. Specialization occurs when a word's meaning narrows down to refer to a more specific concept than it originally did. For example: The word girl once referred to any young person, regardless of gender, but over time, it has specialized to exclusively refer to a female child. Meat, once used to mean any kind of food, has specialized in many languages to mean the flesh of animals that is consumed.

In contrast, generalization involves a word's meaning becoming broader or more inclusive, allowing it to apply to a wider range of objects or concepts. For instance: Mouse started as a specific term for a small rodent but generalized to refer to a computer input device, thus gaining a new sense without losing the original one. Boot used to be specifically a type of footwear, but in computing, it now refers to the process of starting up a computer, demonstrating a generalization of the original meaning. Both specialization and

generalization demonstrate how polysemy evolves as words shift in scope, either becoming more focused or broader in their applications.

In modern societies, cultural and technological changes have accelerated the development of polysemy. As new inventions, discoveries, and cultural phenomena emerge, words often acquire new meanings to reflect these changes. Technological advancements, in particular, have contributed significantly to the proliferation of polysemous words. For example: Tablet, once primarily used to describe a flat stone or clay slab used for writing, has evolved in contemporary English to also denote a portable computing device. Cloud, traditionally associated with meteorology, now also refers to cloud computing, where data is stored and accessed online.

The rapid pace of technological progress and shifts in cultural norms continuously reshape the meanings of words, often leading to new polysemous senses. This cultural adaptation shows that polysemy is not static but an ongoing, dynamic process influenced by the needs of a changing society. Thus, the development of polysemy in English is a multifaceted process driven by metaphorical and metonymic shifts, as well as specialization, generalization, and cultural influences. These mechanisms not only enrich the vocabulary of the language but also highlight how words adapt to new cognitive, communicative, and societal needs. Understanding these processes helps explain why a word can have multiple, sometimes seemingly unrelated, meanings—yet remain coherent and functional within the linguistic system.

#### **Result and Discussion**

One of the most striking examples of polysemy in English is the verb "run." According to the Oxford English Dictionary, run has over 645 senses, making it a highly polysemous word. It originally referred to moving swiftly on foot, but over time, it has expanded to encompass a wide range of meanings in different domains, from physical motion to more abstract actions. The most prototypical sense of run is to move quickly on foot, as in "She runs every morning." Run also refers to the operation of machines or systems, such as "The engine runs smoothly." Another sense of run refers to managing or overseeing something, such as "He runs a successful business." The word has expanded to describe the movement of liquids, as in "The river runs through the valley." Run can also mean to engage in a repeated or continuous activity, as in "He runs a marathon every year." In sports, particularly baseball, a run refers to a scoring unit, as in "The team scored two runs in the first inning."

The word run shows how polysemy can evolve from a single physical action to metaphorical and abstract extensions, based on shared conceptual principles of motion, control, and continuity. The large number of meanings associated with run demonstrates the flexibility of language and how one word can adapt to various contexts.

The word "head" is another prime example of polysemy in English, with its meanings spanning across a range of literal and metaphorical domains. Its central, prototypical meaning refers to the topmost part of the body, but this meaning has expanded metaphorically to various areas, particularly in organizational, spatial, and geographical contexts. The core meaning of head is the top part of the body, containing the brain, eyes,

and mouth. Head also refers to the leader or chief of an organization, as in "The head of the company," or "He is the head of the department." Head can describe the front part or most prominent part of something, as in "The head of the table" or "The head of the line." In geographical contexts, head can refer to the source of a river, as in "The head of the river." In a social or organizational sense, head can also refer to the person in charge of a group or activity, such as "She's the head of the committee." In temporal contexts, head can also refer to the beginning or start of something, such as "At the head of the year. Like run, the word head demonstrates how a single, concrete concept can extend metaphorically into diverse areas, reflecting the central idea of leading, being at the top, or controlling something.

The word "light" offers another intriguing case study of polysemy, with meanings that have evolved from its basic sensory function to include metaphorical, technological, and symbolic extensions. The concept of light primarily refers to the phenomenon that allows visibility, but this physical sense has expanded across various domains. The most basic sense of light refers to the illumination that makes things visible, as in "The light from the lamp was too bright." Light can also describe something that is bright in appearance, such as in "The room was light and airy," or something that provides clarity or understanding, as in "Her explanation shed light on the situation." Another important sense of light is to describe something that is not heavy, as in "This suitcase is light." Light can refer to colors that are pale or not dark, such as in "She wore a light blue dress." In physics, light refers to electromagnetic radiation that can be perceived by the human eye, such as in "Light travels faster than sound." Metaphorically, light is often used to symbolize goodness, purity, or spirituality, as in "The light of truth" or "He was a light in the darkness." The word light demonstrates how a simple concept of visibility can extend into both physical and abstract domains. The evolution of light from a purely sensory experience to a symbol of knowledge, clarity, and even emotional or spiritual states shows the power of polysemy in capturing complex human experiences.

The word "bank" is a classic example of polysemy, with meanings that extend from a physical entity to a more abstract concept. It illustrates how polysemy can occur due to shifts in meaning based on geographical, economic, and social developments.

The most common contemporary sense of bank refers to a financial institution where money is deposited or loans are made. Bank can also refer to the side of a river, as in "The boat was docked on the bank of the river." Bank can also refer to a place where something is stored, such as "a blood bank" (where blood is stored for future use). In aviation, bank refers to the tilting of an aircraft when turning, as in "The plane banked to the left." The term bank can be used metaphorically to refer to a reserve or collection of resources, as in "A bank of knowledge" or "A data bank." The polysemy of bank shows how one word can diversify across various domains, ranging from financial and geographical terms to medical and technological ones. This diversity in meaning reflects the evolving nature of language and how words adapt to new contexts and societal changes.

Another example of polysemy is the word "table," which illustrates how a physical object can develop a range of meanings based on its contextual use in various activities and settings. The prototypical meaning of table refers to a piece of furniture used for eating,

working, or displaying objects. Table also refers to a structured arrangement of data in rows and columns, as in "A table of statistical results." To table a motion or proposal means to postpone or formally submit it for discussion in a meeting, such as "He tabled the issue for further review." In nautical or scientific contexts, table can also refer to a map, chart, or grid, like "The tide table. Here, table has extended from a physical object to encompass various abstract, functional, and specialized meanings, demonstrating how polysemy emerges in response to different social practices and areas of human activity.

### Conclusion

Polysemy is a pervasive and dynamic feature of the English language that reflects cognitive, cultural, and communicative needs. Semantic analysis of polysemous words reveals the underlying mechanisms that generate multiple meanings and demonstrates the essential role of context in meaning resolution. An understanding of polysemy is critical for effective language learning, translation, and lexicographical work.

#### References

- Adilbekov T., Sultanova U. AGE-RELATED FEATURES OF THE MORPHOFUNCTIONAL AND PSYCHO-EMOTIONAL STATE OF ADOLESCENTS //Modern Science and Research. 2023. T. 2. №. 12. C. 391-398.
- Baumann, A. (2023). Seeing through the mess: evolutionary dynamics of lexical polysemy. *EMNLP 2023 - 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Proceedings*, 8745-8762, <a href="https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.emnlp-main.541">https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.emnlp-main.541</a>
- Beekhuizen, B. (2021). Probing Lexical Ambiguity: Word Vectors Encode Number and Relatedness of Senses. *Cognitive Science*, 45(5), ISSN 0364-0213, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/cogs.12943">https://doi.org/10.1111/cogs.12943</a>
- Cruse, D. A. (1986). Lexical Semantics. Cambridge University Press.
- Dirven, R., & Pörings, R. (Eds.). (2002). *Metaphor and Metonymy in Comparison and Contrast*. Mouton de Gruyter.
- Hilpert, M. (2021). Disentangling modal meanings with distributional semantics. *Digital Scholarship in the Humanities*, 36(2), 307-321, ISSN 2055-7671, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/llc/fqaa014">https://doi.org/10.1093/llc/fqaa014</a>
- Lakoff, G. (1987). Women, Fire, and Dangerous Things: What Categories Reveal About the Mind. University of Chicago Press.
- Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). Metaphors We Live By. University of Chicago Press.
- Li, J. (2021). Word Senses as Clusters of Meaning Modulations: A Computational Model of Polysemy. *Cognitive Science*, 45(4), ISSN 0364-0213, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/cogs.12955">https://doi.org/10.1111/cogs.12955</a>

- Lyons, J. (1977). Semantics (Vols. 1–2). Cambridge University Press.
- Murphy, M. L. (2003). Semantic Relations and the Lexicon: Antonymy, Synonymy, and Other Paradigms. Cambridge University Press.
- Nation, I. S. P. (2001). Learning Vocabulary in Another Language. Cambridge University Press.
- Oxford English Dictionary (Online Edition).
- Pak, A. (2023). The Formalized Semantics of Neologisms-Slangisms in the Context of the English Translation of A Military Narrative. *World Journal of English Language*, 13(6), 537-543, ISSN 1925-0703, <a href="https://doi.org/10.5430/wjel.v13n6p537">https://doi.org/10.5430/wjel.v13n6p537</a>
- Sarimsakova D. COMMUNICATIVE COMPETENCE AS A RESULT OF EF TEACHING AND LEARNING //Theoretical & Applied Science. 2019. №. 12. C. 166-169.
- Sarimsakova D. EXPLORING EFL TEACHERS'BELIEFS ABOUT RESEARCH COMPETENCE //Mental Enlightenment Scientific-Methodological Journal. 2025. T. 6. №. 01. C. 256-261.
- Sarimsakova D. M. Using interactive board "padlet" to develop sociolinguistic competence of future english teachers in controlled independent education. 2021.
- Sarimsakova D. MOTIVATING STUDENTS TO DEVELOP THEIR AUTONOMY: AN EXPLORATORY ACTION RESEARCH //European Journal of Humanities & Social Sciences. 2024. No. 1.
- Sarimsakova D. The models of communicative competence: developing sociolinguistic competence in EFL teaching //Конференции. 2020.
- SULTANOVA U. Some thoughts on the semantic-contextual composition of polysemous words in English and Uzbek languages //linguistics. 2024. T. 2181. C. 3701.
- Sultanova, U. (2024). Some thoughts on the semantic-contextual composition of polysemous words in English and Uzbek languages. *linguistics*, 2181, 3701.
- Taylor, J. R. (2003). *Linguistic Categorization: Prototypes in Linguistic Theory* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Wilson, K. (2022). Contextual Embeddings Can Distinguish Homonymy from Polysemy in a Human-Like Way. ICNLSP 2022 Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Natural Language and Speech Processing, 144-155
- Zhang, C. (2021). Semi-supervised word sense disambiguation by combining k-means clustering and the LSTM network. *Xi'an Dianzi Keji Daxue Xuebao/Journal of Xidian University*, 48(6), 161-171, ISSN 1001-2400, <a href="https://doi.org/10.19665/j.issn1001-2400.2021.06.020">https://doi.org/10.19665/j.issn1001-2400.2021.06.020</a>

Zibin, A. (2024). The role of metaphor in creating polysemy complexes in Jordanian Arabic and American English. *Russian Journal of Linguistics*, 28(1), 80-101, ISSN 2687-0088, <a href="https://doi.org/10.22363/2687-0088-34555">https://doi.org/10.22363/2687-0088-34555</a>